

PORTER COUNTY COUNCIL
Regular Council Meeting
June 26, 2018

The Porter County Council met on Tuesday, June 26, 2018 at 5:30 p.m. in the County Administration Center, 155 Indiana-Suite 205, Valparaiso, Indiana.

Mr. Bozak called the meeting to order with the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. Bozak, Alright, Good evening everyone

Mr. Whitten, Good evening Andy how are you?

Mr. Bozak, Good, alright let's start off with the approval of Council minutes from May 29th, 2018.

Mr. Whitten, I'll move to approve those.

Ms. Graham, Second it.

Mr. Bozak, We have a first and a second, any discussion?

Mr. Rivas, Present got to start there.

Mr. Bozak, Got to start there, good call.

Mr. Rivas, Roll call.

Mr. Bozak, Let's start with roll call Vicki

Auditor Vicki Urbanik,

Members present were Council Member Andy Bozak, Council Member Jeremy Rivas, Council Member Karen Conover, Council Member Sylvia Graham, Council Member Mike Jessen, Council Member Jeff Larson, and Council Member Dan Whitten. Also present was Council Attorney Harold Harper, Auditor Vicki Urbanik, Auditor Chief Deputy Toni Downing and Council Administrative Assistant Joy Blakely.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, Seven present.

Mr. Bozak, Alright thank you Vicki.

Approval of Minutes

Approval of Council minutes for May 29th, 2018.

Mr. Bozak, Now back to the approval of the minutes,

Mr. Whitten, My motion stands.

Ms. Graham, Same here.

Mr. Bozak, Ok we've got a first and second any further discussion? Hearing none all those in favor signify by saying Aye? All those opposed same sign? Motion carries.

Motion carries on a unanimous voice vote.

First Reading

Mr. Bozak, Ms. Vicki can we start with our first reading please.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik,

NOTICE TO TAXPAYERS OF PROPOSED ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS

Notice is hereby given the taxpayers of Porter County, Indiana that the proper legal officers of Porter County, Indiana will meet in the Porter County Government Administration Building located at 155 Indiana Avenue, Valparaiso IN 46383 on June 26, 2018 at 5:30 p.m. to consider the following additional appropriations in excess of the county budgets for the year 2018 and to transact any further business which might come before the Council:

General Fund

Sheriff	1000-37100-000-0005	Equipment	\$	60,000.00
ITS	1000-32100-000-0106	Travel	\$	500.00
Superior Court III	1000-22200-000-0203	Uniforms and Clothing	\$	500.00
			\$	61,000.00

Highway Fund

Highway Fund	1176-11300-000-0530	Overtime	\$	50,000.00
	1176-23620-000-0530	Bituminous	\$	62,587.00
			\$	112,587.00

Public Defender Supplemental Fund

Public Defender Sup Fund	1200-13100-000-0271	Legal Services	\$	15,000.00
--------------------------	---------------------	----------------	----	-----------

Surveyor's Perpetuation Fund

Surveyor's Perpetuation	1202-44200-000-0006	Motor Vehicles	\$	35,000.00
-------------------------	---------------------	----------------	----	-----------

County User Fee Fund

County User Fee Fund	2504-31300-000-0005	Training and Education	\$	20,000.00
----------------------	---------------------	------------------------	----	-----------

Drug Task Force Fund

Drug Task Force Fund	4010-45100-000-0005	Data Processing Equipment	\$	5,000.00
----------------------	---------------------	---------------------------	----	----------

Sheriff DEA Proceeds Fund

Sheriff DEA Proceeds Fund	8118-37100-000-0005	Equipment	\$	174,000.00
---------------------------	---------------------	-----------	----	------------

Court Interpreter Grant Fund

Court Interpreter Grant	9108-31700-000-0226	Interpreter	\$	1,594.64
-------------------------	---------------------	-------------	----	----------

Taxpayers appearing at such meeting shall have a right to be heard thereon. The additional appropriations as finally made will be referred to the Indiana Department of Local Government Finance. The DLGF will make a written determination as to the sufficiency of funds to support the appropriations made within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a certified copy of the action taken.

Vicki Urbanik
Auditor of Porter County

Publish June 15, 2018

Mr. Bozak, Thank you Vicki.

Mr. Whitten, Good job Vicki, good job.

Commissioners

Cumulative Capital Development Fund - 1138-000-0068

Transfer \$55,000 from 42100 Building to 34300 Workman's Comp Building Purchase. Reason: Due to change to self-insured work comp model, original deposit of \$449,117 is needed for third party payor. Any used will be returned or credited towards next year's policy.

Transfer \$60,000 from 31200 Consultants to 32300 Postage. Reason: Funds depleted due to election related mailings and Commissioner Certificate sale.

Mr. Bozak, Alright first up we have the Commissioners CCD fund for transfers.

Mr. Whitten, I'm going to make a motion on both those transfers.

Ms. Graham, Second.

Mr. Bozak, We have a first and second any further discussion, any questions for Scott on it?

Mr. Whitten, This has to do with some postage funds and things of that nature is that what I am understanding?

County Attorney Scott McClure, On the second transfer that is postage some of that was related to the election and some of that was related to the flooding in south county.

Mr. Whitten, Ok.

County Attorney Scott McClure, Some of that was also related to the Commissioners Certificate sale where we notified the adjacent property owners that the property was available in an attempt to increase traffic at the sale.

Mr. Whitten, Our Work Comp is up \$55,000 dollars because of a building purchase?

County Attorney Scott McClure, No it's actually going to be down, the Work Comp wasn't running year to year. It was running I believe April to April and so when we made the change from a fully insured policy into a more self...a partially self-insured policy this \$449,117 is what we need to deposit basically with a third party payor as those claims may or may not be processed. That money is not gone, that money is still ours to be utilized and then will either be returned or credited toward next years. But the \$55,000 dollars was paying the remaining...the first few months of the old...

Mr. Whitten, I got you.

County Attorney Scott McClure, workman's comp policy. That's why that line item was a little weak.

Mr. Bozak, How much are we responsible now with the new policy?

County Attorney Scott McClure, I could get you the exact number but we have a level of...

Mr. Whitten, We have a stop loss.

County Attorney Scott McClure, re-insurance...so...

Mr. Bozak, Thank you...

Mr. Jessen, Hey Scott how much was the postage due to the Election related mailings?

County Attorney Scott McClure, I could get you a breakdown...

Mr. Jessen, Was it significant?

County Attorney Scott McClure, I think that was significant that dealing with notifying the different precincts of any poll changes and that's kind of a up and down issue of whether or not the actual polling location changes and also it was a significant portion was notifying the adjacent property owners of the Certificate Sale. Basically trying to get those back on the rolls.

Mr. Jessen, Will we have the same issue in the General Election for mailing are we going to need additional money for that too or is this going to cover it?

County Attorney Scott McClure, I don't think we will again the issue comes down to how much those polling places do or don't move and engage those automatic mailers to go out to notify everyone. So I believe we crafted it in such a way to not have to come back for postage.

Mr. Rivas, Why wasn't it coming out of Election Board? Why didn't...

County Attorney Scott McClure, Because the Commissioners pay for all the postage for everyone, Clerks...everyone.

Mr. Rivas, Poll Board...

County Attorney Scott McClure, Everybody

Mr. Rivas, Election related stuff so...gotcha.

County Attorney Scott McClure, It is coded so we can get somewhat of a breakdown. The machine itself is coded by department so we can get a little bit of a breakdown but at the end of the day that was what it was used for.

Mr. Bozak, Ok, anyone else have any further questions or comments? Alright hearing none all those in favor please signify by saying Aye? This was for both transfers all those opposed same sign? Hearing none motion carries.

Motion carries on a unanimous voice vote.

County Attorney Scott McClure, Thank you.

Mr. Bozak, Thank you Scott.

Public Defenders

Public Defender Supplemental Fund - 1200-000-0271

Additional \$15,000 to 13100 Legal Services. Reason: Legal Service line item depleted we have four appeals and a few conflict cases pending.

Mr. Bozak, Next up is the Public Defenders.

Mr. Whitten, I'll make a motion on that Supplemental fund additional for \$15,000 dollars.

Mr. Rivas, Second.

Ms. Conover, Third.

Mr. Bozak, First, Second and Third is there any further discussion or is there any questions?

Mr. Whitten, Hey Ken do we have any as we sit here today are we fully staffed for Public Defenders?

Chief Public Defender Ken Elwood, We are this is as a reminder this has been an odd year, but this is for outside council if there is a conflict or an outside appeal. We usually haven't spent anywhere near the budget item for several years but it does come out of the Supplemental Fund and not the General Fund.

Mr. Bozak, Any further questions or discussion? Alright, hearing none roll-call please Ms. Vicki.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik,

Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Mr. Rivas	-	Yes
Ms. Conover	-	Yes
Ms. Graham	-	Yes
Mr. Jessen	-	Yes
Mr. Larson	-	Yes
Mr. Whitten	-	Yes
Mr. Bozak	-	Yes

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, 7-0

Chief Public Defender Ken Elwood, Thank you always a pleasure.

Mr. Bozak, Good evening.

General Courts – Judge Roger Bradford

Court Interpreter Grant Fund - 9108-000-0226

Additional \$1,594.64 to 31700 Court Interpreter. Reason: Grant money received and needs to be appropriated.

Mr. Bozak, Next up we have General Courts Judge Roger Bradford.

Mr. Whitten, I make a motion on this \$1,594.64 additional...

Mr. Rivas, Second.

Mr. Whitten, grant money.

Mr. Bozak, Ok is there any other questions or comments in regards to this? Hearing none roll call please.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik,

Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Ms. Conover	-	Yes
Ms. Graham	-	Yes
Mr. Jessen	-	Yes
Mr. Larson	-	Yes
Mr. Whitten	-	Yes
Mr. Bozak	-	Yes
Mr. Rivas	-	Yes

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, 7-0

Superior Court III – Judge Drenth (Judge Jent retired)

General Fund - Judge Drenth - 1000-000-0203

Additional \$500 to 22200 Uniforms and Clothing. Reason: Judicial robe for Judge Drenth.

Transfer \$500 from 31700 Interpreter to 22200 Uniforms and Clothing. Reason: Transfer needed for Judge’s robe.

Transfer \$500 from 31100 Legal to 34600 Liability Insurance. Reason: Additional money needed to pay Judge Drenth’s liability insurance for the year of 2018.

Mr. Bozak, Next up we have Superior Court Judge Drenth.

Mr. Whitten, I make a motion on the additional and two transfers.

Ms. Conover, Second.

Mr. Bozak, We have a first and second any questions or comments? Now they did contact me and they kind of updated me on this they’re looking to get a new robe obviously for Judge Drenth and then pay the rest of what was due for the Liability Insurance. So it’s pretty basic I don’t know if anyone had any questions on this? No comments or questions? Ok hearing none roll call please Vicki?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik,

Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

- Ms. Graham - Yes
- Mr. Jessen - Yes
- Mr. Larson - Yes
- Mr. Whitten - Yes
- Mr. Bozak - Yes
- Mr. Rivas - Yes
- Ms. Conover - Yes

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, 7-0

Mr. Bozak, Thank you Vicki.

Highway Department

Motor Vehicle Fund - 1176-000-0530

Additional \$50,000 to 11300 Overtime. Reason: Additional Overtime for snowplowing and salting.

Additional \$62,587 to 23620 Bituminous. Reason: Reimbursement from INDOT for road damage to Dalke road last year.

Mr. Bozak, Next to the Highway department we’ve got the Motor Vehicle Fund.

Mr. Whitten, I’m going to make a motion to approve both additional.

Ms. Conover, Second.

Mr. Bozak, We have a first and second.

Mr. Whitten, What’s in that fund Vicki?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, Current balance is \$1,686,446.97 dollars.

Mr. Whitten, What did we start the year with?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, Ok, just under a million, \$907,326 dollars.

Mr. Whitten, Alright.

Mr. Bozak, Mr. Sexton how are you doing this evening?

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Good Mr. Bozak how are you doing?

Mr. Bozak, Congratulations on your new appointment.

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Thank you.

Mr. Rivas, So you are the new Highway guy? You've got a new assistant too?

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Yeah it's Jim Polarek.

Mr. Rivas, I'd like for him to come up here with you.

Mr. Whitten, Yeah come join us and introduce yourself.

(Laughter)

Highway Director Rich Sexton, I'm Rich Sexton for anybody that doesn't know me.

Highway Assistant Director Jim Polarek, I was getting up when I heard the motions that Mr. Whitten through out so I was like I don't even need to get up.

(Laughter)

Mr. Bozak, They come quick at the end.

Mr. Whitten, So we have overtime, so this is from snowplowing and salting?

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Yes sir.

Mr. Whitten, What months was that?

Highway Director Rich Sexton, It would have been back in March we...let me just look at this. We made a copy so you guys (inaudible) in March. I can't give you an exact date because this is my sixth day so...

Ms. Graham, It this kind of left over going over the books?

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Yes.

Mr. Larson, We gave you five day to get it together.

(Laughter)

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Well we are getting there.

Mr. Bozak, You haven't figured out the department in five days?

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Yeah, 143 employees and we have got it down already we are good.

Mr. Whitten, That was my questions.

Mr. Bozak, Does anyone else have any further questions?

Mr. Rivas, Not with this one.

Mr. Bozak, Ok, hearing none roll call please?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik,

Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Mr. Jessen	-	Yes
Mr. Larson	-	Yes
Mr. Whitten	-	Yes
Mr. Bozak	-	Yes
Mr. Rivas	-	Yes
Ms. Conover	-	Yes
Ms. Graham	-	Yes

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, 7-0

Mr. Bozak, Anything else going on that you need to tell us about?

Highway Director Rich Sexton, A lot of road work going on right now we are doing chip and seal right in the middle of that and right now we are paving Smoke Road, 250 West and....

Highway Assistant Director Jim Polarek, Burdick by the end of the week.

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Burdick Road.

Mr. Rivas, I also wanted to ask...so is Andy still around?

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Yes sir he is working the Hebron shop now.

Mr. Rivas, Hebron shop. So talking about the work you are doing on the roads and I talked to Andy briefly about this...how many miles are we doing this year compared to last year and what money do we have compared to last year? I mean are we spending the same because Andy indicated to me that we are doing less.

Highway Director Rich Sexton, We are doing a little less...I want to say...I don't have the exact total right now but I want to say it's around sixty-five (65) miles and I think last year we did around eighty (80).

Mr. Rivas, I would like you to dig into that a little bit and see if...what we can do with this.

Highway Director Rich Sexton, We're going to start using the Paser program which is the road rating system. We're going to start using traffic counts to justify and help us justify the reason for asphalt, chip and seal, crack seal and all the alternatives the single seal on the road. We are trying to get it narrowed down we have already set goals for how many miles we want to do next year I think. We've got it narrowed down to how much we want to do and we just have to incorporate the whole...all of the options we have with paving and chip seal like I said, so we are kind of going to start in that direction.

Mr. Jessen, Have we not been using that system where I have heard us talked about that for a long time now?

Ms. Graham, Not completely?

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Not completely no.

Mr. Bozak, So we could be using it better?

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Yes it will be used better. It will be definitely based off of factual information versus just that looks like a good one. I don't know how else to put that so...

Mr. Whitten, We were using that looks like a good one system?

(Laughter)

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Well I wouldn't say that I meant like you send a foreman out and they go over the roads and they inspect them.

Mr. Bozak, So traffic counts will be fed into this system because I've seen you guys have those out already. I've seen them on the roads.

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Yeah she is doing a good job with those and she has got a bunch of traffic counts and we've got to start using that stuff versus...crack seal can make a world of difference in roads and we've started that with Andy and we plan on keep on doing many miles of crack seal to help keep the actual miles up, we've got eight hundred and fifteen (815) for asphalt roads only last about maybe fifteen (15) years on a good year. It tells you how often we have to come back and do every road all eight hundred (800) miles.

Mr. Bozak, Are you converting any roads to gravel or doing anything like that?

Highway Director Rich Sexton, No.

Mr. Jessen, Understanding your brand new in the position so I understand that completely. With the sixty-five (65) miles this year, know that we did eighty (80) miles last year is that intentional? Or is that a budgetary issue or why is there so much less?

Highway Director Rich Sexton, It's a budget issue with the CCMG last year, that was where it all...that kind of played a big factor with miles this year versus last year. That's my understanding like I said I'm...we are working on getting a better understanding but that was my understanding of why the difference.

Mr. Jessen, Is there a listing of the roads that are to be done this year to that sixty-five (65) miles?

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Yeah we do have a list I can email that to you?

Mr. Jessen, Could you just forward that to Joy and we could have her disseminate that to everybody here?

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Yes we can do that.

Mr. Bozak, Yeah and please include us if you guys get a plan for next year.

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Yes

Mr. Rivas, And we've in the past have supplemented things with our Major Moves money...Vicki how much do we have?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, In Major Moves?

Mr. Rivas, Yeah.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, Yeah...hold on.

Mr. Bozak, Let's look in the LOW system.

Mr. Whitten, I don't think we're quite ready to tap into Major Moves Jeremy are we?

Mr. Rivas, No I was curious as to we're doing less what is the reason? What's in here? Do we need to be doing more this year...is it too late?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, The cash balance is down to \$309,929 dollars.

Mr. Rivas, So there is nothing in there.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, But remember that was transferred...about \$1.3ish million was transferred over to the Community Crossing Grant program.

Highway Assistant Director Jim Polarek, We're actually meeting with Vicki on Thursday to kind of go over the Budget and see if there are areas that we can streamline it if you want to say that to get more into the paving and try and get where we were last year. We don't know if it is possible but...

Mr. Bozak, Are you bring your Council liaisons? Are they involved in that at all?

Highway Assistant Director Jim Polarek, Hopefully after we get some numbers we can then get together with Mrs. Graham and Mr. Larson. Then once we get the numbers that we think we have and verify them with the Auditor then we will definitely fill you in.

Mr. Bozak, Yeah work with them and they will filter it to us too. Any further questions or comments? Thank you gentlemen and congratulations too.

Highway Director Rich Sexton, Thank you.

Mr. Whitten, Good seeing you Jim.

Highway Assistant Director Jim Polarek, Have a goodnight.

ITS

General Fund ITS - 1000-000-0106

Additional \$500 to 32100 Travel. Reason: Running out of money to pay mileage with additional person this year and all the travel for the 2 year infrastructure plan not enough money for travel.

Mr. Bozak, Next up we have the ITS

Mr. Whitten, I'll make a motion on the \$500 dollar money for travel.

Ms. Conover, Second.

Mr. Bozak, We have a motion with a first and second any questions or comments...discussion? Hearing none roll call please.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik,

Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Mr. Larson	-	Yes
Mr. Whitten	-	Yes
Mr. Bozak	-	Yes
Mr. Rivas	-	Yes
Ms. Conover	-	Yes
Ms. Graham	-	Yes
Mr. Jessen	-	Yes

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, 7-0

Mr. Bozak, Ok motion carries.

EMA

General Fund EMA - 1000-000-0360

Transfer \$1,500 from 36601 Siren Maintenance to 22200 Uniforms and Clothing. Reason: To cover the cost of outfitting new Deputy Director and complete outfitting Director.

District 1 Task Force Fund - 4020-000-0360

Transfer \$290 from 36300 Equipment Other than Vehicles to 39300 Dues and Subscriptions. Reason: To cover the cost of plating and titling (2) District trailers.

Mr. Bozak, Next up we have EMA.

Mr. Whitten, I'll make a motion on the two transfers for \$1,500 bucks and \$290 bucks.

Ms. Graham, Second.

Mr. Bozak, We have a first and second, any questions or comments...discussion? Ok hearing none...

Ms. Graham, I have one question, how much is left in the Siren Maintenance fund?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, You are looking for the appropriation in the budget?

Ms. Graham, Well how many sirens...does the one siren, I think we had one siren that was kind of questionable last year.

EMA Director Lance Bella, We just replaced batteries in four (4) sirens and we have two more that we want to work on. Actually what happen is once we opened them up we saw that they were malfunctioning because of the computer system tells us that they misfired or they're not communicating. It was actually the batteries had ruptured inside the box so we replaced those and we had to clean it all out and then we are ordering...it takes four batteries for each of the sirens we will be replacing two hopefully in the next month.

Mr. Bozak, Do you guys have a preventative maintenance plan going forward on that?

EMA Director Lance Bella, What happens right now is our own volunteers are doing it so there is no labor so it's just on that. And yes, so what we are doing...in the past there has not been. It's kind of when we had a problem we went out and looked at it. Our new Deputy Director is putting together a program to where at some frequency we're going out and actually looking because we don't want to actually wait until there is a problem.

Mr. Larson, Is that an acid filled battery or are you guys...

EMA Director Lance Bella, It's acid yes sir.

Mr. Whitten, So Vicki am I reading this correctly there is \$22,000 dollars roughly unexpended?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, Yes.

Mr. Jessen, How many sirens do we have?

EMA Director Lance Bella, Seventy-eight (78).

Mr. Jessen, And are you saying that some of them are not currently working?

EMA Director Lance Bella, No they are all working but we have experienced some of the communications where it starts to tell us that there is something

potentially going wrong with them and so what we try to do is to not wait till it doesn't fire. But twice a day the system pings every siren and then it will tell us if it's reading properly or not. And if it's not we know that there could be a problem and we'll go out and look at them.

Mr. Jessen, But all seventy-eight (78) do work?

EMA Director Lance Bella, Yes sir.

Ms. Graham, Very good thank you.

Mr. Bozak, Ok, any further questions or comments? Hearing none all those in favor of both transfers please signify by saying Aye? All those opposed same sign? Hearing none motion carries. Thank you very much and have a good evening.

Motion carries on a unanimous voice vote.

Surveyor

Surveyor's Perpetuation Fund - 1202-000-0006

Additional \$35,000 to 44200 Motor Vehicle. Reason: To replace vehicle that is more than seventeen (17) years old.

Mr. Bozak, Next up we have our Surveyor looking to replace a vehicle that is more than seventeen (17) years old, \$35,000 to Motor Vehicle.

Ms. Graham, I'll make a motion that we pass this \$35,000 for our Surveyor to get a new truck or vehicle.

Mr. Jessen, Second.

Mr. Bozak, We have a first and second, any questions or comments? Vicki what's in that fund the Surveyor's Perpetuation fund?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, The cash balance is \$98,825.61 dollars.

Mr. Bozak, What are you getting?

Surveyor Kevin Breitzke, Pardon?

Mr. Bozak, What kind of vehicle are you getting?

Surveyor Kevin Breitzke, I haven't decided yet, I am looking for a good price...good return. So I am very open-minded, but first I want to put it in the budget.

Mr. Bozak, Four-wheel drive.

Surveyor Kevin Breitzke, Yes.

Mr. Bozak, Do you guys need four wheels?

Surveyor Kevin Breitzke, Yes.

Mr. Bozak, Does any have any questions? Ok hearing none roll call please

Auditor Vicki Urbanik,

Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Mr. Whitten	-	Yes
Mr. Bozak	-	Yes
Mr. Rivas	-	Yes
Ms. Conover	-	Yes

Ms. Graham - Yes
Mr. Jessen - Yes
Mr. Larson - Yes

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, 7-0

Mr. Bozak, Thank you and have a good evening.

Memorial Opera House

MOH IAC Grant Fund - 8300-000-0175

Transfer \$2,600 from 44100 Office Equipment over \$100 to 22500 Other Supplies.
Reason: Transfer money into Other Supplies for supplies for the Box Office for Displays, Signage and other Box Office Materials.

Transfer \$3,046.50 from 44100 Office Equipment over \$100 to 33400 Advertising.
Reason: Transfer money into Advertising to pay for Advertising and Displays for Box Office.

Mr. Bozak, Next up we have Dan's favorite the Memorial Opera House

Mr. Whitten, Right the show must go on.

Mr. Bozak, Come join us.

Mr. Whitten, I'll make a motion on both of the transfers it's a grant fund.

Ms. Graham, Second.

Mr. Jessen, Second.

Mr. Bozak, We have a first and second, any questions....

Mr. Whitten, Big fashion show coming up...

MOH Director Scot MacDonald, July 12th.

Mr. Whitten, Who is MC that thing?

MOH Director Scot MacDonald, I believe you are.

Mr. Whitten, I'll be darn.

MOH Director Scot MacDonald, Yeah how about that.

Mr. Whitten, That ought to be a real stitch.

MOH Director Scot MacDonald, Yeah.

Mr. Bozak, And I was going to come too.

Mr. Rivas, You really couldn't find anything else?

MOH Director Scot MacDonald, We're featuring local lawyers...so

Mr. Bozak, I could pretend to be a lawyer.

(Laughter)

Mr. Whitten, Let me make this clear I am MC-ing I'm not modeling.

Ms. Graham, That would be worth the ticket.

MOH Director Scot MacDonald, Exactly

(Laughter)

Mr. Rivas, Have you sold any tickets?

MOH Director Scot MacDonald, We've actually have.

Mr. Whitten, That's right.

MOH Director Scot MacDonald, We have only 120 available so...

Mr. Bozak, Oh wow.

MOH Director Scot MacDonald, Yes.

Ms. Conover, And Dan bought a hundred.

(Laughter)

Mr. Whitten, ...Would you guys like to go?

Mr. Bozak, Alright does anyone have any questions about the transfers? Ok hearing none all those in favor please signify by saying Aye? All those opposed same sign? Hearing none motion carries.

Motion carries on a unanimous voice vote.

Mr. Whitten, So how are those ticket sales on Legally Blonde?

MOH Director Scot MacDonald, We are at about 65% percent right now and we open in about two and a half weeks so we are on our way to a sell-out with that.

Mr. Bozak, That's after this show right?

MOH Director Scot MacDonald, Correct...correct.

Mr. Whitten, Well there is a soft opening the night of the show.

MOH Director Scot MacDonald, Right exactly the 12th is the soft opening and pre-view for less fortunate audiences as well.

Ms. Graham, You're not in that are you?

Mr. Whitten, I'm not in that one.

MOH Director Scot MacDonald, He's not.

Mr. Whitten, I don't know if you knew I was in the Wizard of OZ, I don't know if I told you guys that...I was the Wizard.

(Laughter)

Mr. Bozak, I've heard that,

Mr. Whitten, I was the Wizard.

Mr. Bozak, I saw that on Wikipedia.

Mr. Whitten, Ok thank you very much.

Mr. Rivas, Use to be Dan...used to be.

Mr. Whitten, Used to be.

Mr. Rivas, Guess what used to bee's don't make honey any more Dan.

(Laughter)

Mr. Whitten, Oh...I knew that was coming.

(Laughter)

MOH Director Scot MacDonald, Thank you for having me.

Mr. Jessen, He is auditioning for a comedy show.

Mr. Whitten, Is this thing on?

Development and Storm Water Management

Plan Commission - 1180-000-0079

Transfer \$300 from 31200 Consultants to 33400 Advertising. Reason: To pay for Planner I job posting.

Transfer \$650 from 31200 Consultants to 22500 Other Supplies. Reason: To purchase shirts with department logo for office and field staff.

Cumulative Bridge - 1135-000-0079

Transfer \$15,000 from 39500 Contractual Services to 23600 Aggregates. Reason: To pay for concrete material for emergency bridge repair and future bridge repairs as needed.

Transfer \$1,000 from 39500 Contractual Services to 33400 Advertising. Reason: To pay for Engineering I job posting.

Storm Water - 1182-000-0079

Transfer \$3,000 from 44200 Motor Vehicles to 34600 Liability Insurance. Reason: To pay for liability insurance for department drone.

Transfer \$950 from 22100 Gas, Fuel & Lubrication to 22500 Other Supplies. Reason: To purchase shirts w/department logo for office and field Staff.

Transfer \$5,000 from 44200 Motor Vehicles to 37200 Software. Reason: To purchase software for Storm Water analysis.

Mr. Bozak, Ok next up we have Development and Stormwater Management and we have the Planning Commission looking to move...

Mr. Whitten, I'm going to make a motion on the two transfers from Plan Commission, the two transfers for Cumulative Bridge and the three transfers from Storm Water.

Mr. Larson, Second.

Mr. Bozak, First and second any questions or discussion? Alright hearing none all those in favor please signify by saying Aye? All those opposed same sign? motion carries.

Motion carries on a unanimous voice vote.

Development and Stormwater Management Director Bob Thompson, Thank you.

Mr. Larson, Thanks Bob.

Sheriff

Sheriff General Fund – 1000-000-0005

Additional \$60,000 to 37100 Equipment. Reason: Dash Cams/Body Cameras the first year Phase 1 & 2 will be paid out of the 8118 Edward Byrne DEA/Fort Fund total \$234,000. The second year will also be paid out of the 8118 Fund total \$58,500. General Fund will not pay out until the 3rd year in 2020.

Sheriff DEA Proceeds Fund - 8118-000-0005

Additional \$174,000 to 37100 Equipment. Reason: To make 1 years payment of \$234,000 the line item has \$60,000 need \$174,000 for the 1st year payment total of \$234,000 for Dash Cams/Body Cameras.

County User Fee Fund - 2504-000-0005

Additional \$20,000 to 31300 Training and Education. Reason: Needed to cover cost associated with mandatory and increase cost of Training for Officers. Our Sheriff General Training line item (\$25,000) is not sufficient to cover all the increases.

Drug Task Force - 4010-000-0005

Additional \$5,000 to 45100 Data Processing Equipment. Reason: IBM Analysts notebook software subscription and support used by drug team for analyzing drug related data. Other miscellaneous data processing supplies for drug team.

Mr. Bozak, Mr. Sheriff how are you doing this evening?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, Good

Mr. Whitten, I'm going to make a motion on the additional from Sheriff's General fund \$60,000 dollars to equipment. The DEA Proceeds fund \$174,000 dollars, the County User Fee fund \$20,000 to Training and Education and the Drug Task Force additional of \$5,000.

Mr. Larson, Second.

Mr. Bozak, Ok we have a first and second, Sheriff can you kind of tell us what's going on?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, Regarding what? Life in general or the Wizard?

(Laughter)

Mr. Bozak, Just in general.

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, Well the \$60,000 dollars this is a big deal the Commissioners we have been working really good with...for a long time with the Commissioners on our body cameras and our car cameras. Ordinances have been written, we've got our policies in place and the one thing that obviously was the stumbling block was the permanent funding source so that's what this is. But you won't even though we put it in here you won't pay for it until 2020 but we are getting a \$500,000 dollar...approximately \$500,000 dollar system for every officer on the road and every squad car. And we just found out that in October they are going to for a nominal fee increase per car it will have a license plate reader on it. A couple of years ago, Dan you probably know or remember this we tested on out and it was like \$25,000 dollars apiece. But it is phenomenal technology that can actually just get the plate numbers driving a car is a stationary position and we can check every car that is coming into the fair and in which we will...

Mr. Bozak, It is using more than the dash cam, is there other cameras on the sides and stuff?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, There is a camera in the front and a camera in the back and there is a camera on them. But you know just from a standpoint of officer's safety, domestic violence cases which we have talked about months ago. It's just

going to be a tremendous aid for us...everything and we worked out the policy of who can...the press...what do we give the press, what do we give the public? That's all...a lot of it is governed by Statute and how much we can charge which is also governed by Statute. We'll charge the Post a certain amount, we'll charge the Times a certain amount.

(Laughter)

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, That was a joke.

Mr. Rivas, Can you tell us who will get the higher fee?

(Laughter)

Mr. Bozak, What is that fee that we will be paying 2020? When you say it's...

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, It's \$60,000 dollars.

Mr. Bozak, Its \$60,000 dollars.

Mr. Rivas, But you have worked all that out with the Prosecutor's...

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, Yes.

Mr. Rivas, The Commissioners?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, Yes.

Mr. Rivas, The legalities of all that stuff?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, Yes.

Mr. Whitten, And when we first talked about this some time ago there was some discussion that maybe you might have...maybe in 2020 you might not need that \$60,000 dollars, you might have raised it.

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, It's possible, I mean it depends on what's coming in our seizure money.

Mr. Whitten, Right.

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, But to have the Commissioners obviously wanted a permanent funding source so if they pull the trigger on this. So we are waiting, we've got money that has come in, obviously we've got in over \$300,000 dollars in there right now.

Mr. Whitten, Right.

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, So...

Mr. Bozak, What's the timeline for getting all the officers equipped, all the cars done?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, We are going to call them tomorrow and order it and they said as soon as they order it they will be right out here and start working on it.

Mr. Whitten, Awesome.

Mr. Bozak, With the license plates readers is there any privacy concerns as far as locating. Like are you gather up information of where normal citizens are?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, No its just reading...

Mr. Bozak, It's just reading it?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, There is no expectation of privacy of a plate and that's basically...

Mr. Bozak, No I was just curious if there was.

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, And that's all basically what it will do if you are wanted, if the car is stolen it will immediately hit.

Mr. Rivas, So this \$60,000 is for the purchase of the upfront equipment?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, No it's the third year of the contract, it's a five year contract.

Mr. Rivas, I'm just wondering Sheriff and I didn't mean to cut you off. Why...I mean you are saying that we don't have to the third year so why are we doing an additional out of the General fund the first year?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, Well that was because the Commissioners wanted a permanent funding source so you would approve that if we didn't get the money it would be coming in.

Mr. Whitten, So I anticipated some discussion along that lines when we do our budgets.

Mr. Larson, Right.

Mr. Whitten, Whether we need to carve that aside when we do our budgets.

Mr. Bozak, Is there going to be an increase with the license plates readers...is it a large increase? Because what's that \$60,000 dollars potentially going to?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, They were talking about \$20 dollars a car...

Mr. Bozak, Oh geez...

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, per month, which really is not too bad.

Mr. Whitten, You seem pretty concerned about that license plate...maybe you better run his plates.

Mr. Bozak, I just want to make sure the \$60,000 dollars doesn't become \$100,000 dollars in three years because of that. That was the reason for that question.

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, Well we can run you plate now.

Mr. Whitten, My police hat is back on and I am thinking about running his plates man...

(Laughter)

Mr. Bozak, You can run my plates it's ABPHOTO.

(Laughter)

Mr. Rivas, So the \$60,000 dollars will go over there and that will be the line item we are going to keep for this.

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, 2020...for maintenance agreements after a third year they will replace they will replace all the cameras. Any upgrades that automatically goes...it's like anything in technology when you buy something you have the one thing that they don't talk to you in the small line is you have a maintenance agreement in anything that you buy and that's what this is.

Mr. Larson, I'd like to commend you on working the deal out with the Commissioners because I know that was no easy task. And also is there additional storage...I know there is unlimited Dave?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, No

Mr. Larson, So there is some fee that goes along with storage?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, No that's included in it.

Mr. Larson, Oh it's also included, ok very good.

Ms. Graham, And there is no charge like if you want to bring something back or go through it? It's in the Cloud or where is it?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, Right it's in the Cloud.

Ms. Graham, Ok.

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, But I will say that they have been very...it's been a long process and they never said that they were against it they just made us jump through a few hoops. But I think it was well deserved, I think I am really comfortable. I mean I wish I would have had it a year ago but I think we are in a lot better shape than we were a year ago so it's worked out good.

Mr. Bozak, Do these camera's provide live feed for like 911?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, Yes.

Mr. Bozak, They will...

Mr. Rivas, So that can trigger that so that if you have got an officer on foot chasing somebody....

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, Yes.

Mr. Rivas, that 911 could be watching that?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, If your is cameras on I could be at home watching what you are watching.

Mr. Rivas, That's great.

Mr. Larson, That's cool.

Mr. Bozak, I think that a big safety feature for you to use.

Ms. Conover, Yes it is.

Comptroller Edie Hahn, Also the ordinance we had rewritten for the money coming in for that is going to help pay for the equipment. Like if we break a camera you with fighting or something that will help replace that camera. So we'll get a line item for equipment in that one also.

Mr. Bozak, Is this part of the vest or just hanging on their type of camera?

Comptroller Edie Hahn, No

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, No this is a different model, actually we have are going to have to have new uniforms, outer carriers we are going to it's going to be class A and the camera actually looks like this...sort of like this it's an iPhone and it goes into a sleeve. So Valparaiso went with the same system...when they knew we were going to this system they went to and of course they got it before we did. But what is nice

about them having it they'll sign a MOU (memorandum of understanding) between departments and we can interchange/exchange information to with us and the City of Valpo. From an officer safety standpoint it's just a tremendous thing for us.

Mr. Bozak, Is that camera a cellular base or is it connecting to the car so you have to be so close to the car.

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, It goes with the car the car and then goes out.

Mr. Bozak, So if the officer gets too far from the car you are not going to get that live feed?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, Yeah.

Mr. Bozak, Ok thank you.

Mr. Rivas, What triggers the camera to start on the body?

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, You can trigger it if they take the gun out of the holster it triggers it.

Mr. Rivas, Wow...

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, There is a lot of safety features on it and we got to go through all of that on when we are going to use it and when we are not going to...you know when we turn it on or off, but they have a policy too. South Bend went with this a couple of years ago...South Bend has the same system, Lafayette has the same system and so does West Lafayette. We're really excited and all our guys want it too and that's really important for you to know.

Ms. Conover, Yes because there is extra training that goes along with that.

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, Yes there is a lot of training...

Ms. Conover, Yes.

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, And that is included in the price.

Ms. Conover, Excellent due-diligence.

Mr. Larson, Nice Job Sheriff.

Mr. Bozak, Does anyone else have any further questions or comments? Ok, excuse me Ms. Vicki roll call please?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik,

Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Mr. Bozak	-	Yes
Mr. Rivas	-	Yes
Ms. Conover	-	Yes
Ms. Graham	-	Yes
Mr. Jessen	-	Yes
Mr. Larson	-	Yes
Mr. Whitten	-	Yes

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, 7-0

Mr. Bozak, Hey bring them next meeting and let's see them.

Sheriff Dave Reynolds, Ok.

Mr. Rivas, Sheriff Councilman Bozak drives and expedition I would like you to run his license plates because he is really worried about that

(Laughter)

Mr. Bozak, I don't that you will find me it doesn't say anything on the side like my name.

(Laughter)

Porter County Police Retirement Plan

- Administrative Changes to the Porter County Police Retirement Plan presented by Sheriff Pension Manager Chris Diltz of M.A. Dilts & Associates, Inc.
 - New Trust Agreement for the Retirement Plan – Naming 1st Source Bank as the new Trustee.
 - New Trust Agreement for the Benefit Plan – Naming 1st Source as the new Trustee.
 - Amendment to the Retirement Plan – Removing Merit Board approval for Lump Sum and DROP Lump Sum.

Mr. Whitten, So do you need us to do something on this Police Retirement Plan then?

Comptroller Edie Hahn, Yeah, we've brought in Chris Diltz in our Retirement Manager so he can answer any questions you have and I will get out of the hot seat.

Mr. Bozak, It says he has a presentation for us...oh it say presented.

Chris Diltz of M.A. Dilts & Associates, Well I brought it, we'll load it in and it shouldn't take but forty-five minutes or so.

Mr. Whitten, That's alright...I find that highly unlikely.

Mr. Bozak, You can do that with the Newspapers.

(Laughter)

Chris Diltz of M.A. Dilts & Associates, I'm kidding of course.

Mr. Bozak, Alright what do you have for us?

Chris Diltz of M.A. Dilts & Associates, Any questions? We delivered the packages in advance. Any changes to any of the planned documents require basically everyone's approval. We've put those notes in there so the changes being made are after fifteen (15) years a decision was made, we've shopped probably every five years to change the Trustee and you have all that information to do that the Trust documents change. So I believe that you have copies of them, we included the entire Trust document and if you like we will walk through it but you'll see the third paragraph give the name of the new Trustee and two paragraphs below there, name's the old one. It names the new one the successor Trustee other than that the documents don't change. I did notice that they did a couple of updates and there is maybe two paragraphs difference between the Retirement Trust and the Benefit Plan Trust. Just as the first you probably haven't seen these documents in years we've gone through the other plans but if there is any questions about that please feel free to ask, I am familiar with them. Probably not what you want to hear...

Mr. Whitten, So you need our approval on these?

Chris Diltz of M.A. Dilts & Associates, Approvals and signatures and all of the other signatures are on it.

Mr. Whitten, Alright, so I will make a motion these changes.

Ms. Graham, Second.

Mr. Bozak, We have a first and second, is this a roll call?

Mr. Whitten, Yes.

Mr. Bozak, Ms. Vicki can you do roll call please? Any further discussion or questions?

Mr. Jessen, You know on here it talks about it was based on service and not returns?

Chris Diltz of M.A. Dilts & Associates, Yes

Mr. Jessen, Any specific service related issues that precipitate the change?

Chris Diltz of M.A. Dilts & Associates, Nothing to be in public, it's...you know there comes a time and they weren't paying attention, we've been around a long time and there was a few mistakes. We had people not getting checks and you know its monthly checks that are cut, it's the same retirees and disabled people and widows. How could there be a problem? There was a tax problem when they reported them incorrectly...it was just adding up.

Mr. Jessen, Ok yep.

Mr. Bozak, Any other questions or comments? Hearing none roll call?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik,

Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Mr. Rivas	-	Yes
Ms. Conover	-	Yes
Ms. Graham	-	Yes
Mr. Jessen	-	Yes
Mr. Larson	-	Yes
Mr. Whitten	-	Yes
Mr. Bozak	-	Yes

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, 7-0

Mr. Bozak, Alright thank you, have a good evening.

Second Reading

Mr. Bozak, Ms. Vicki on to the second reading please.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik,

Whereas it has been determined that it is now necessary to appropriate more money than what was appropriated in the annual budget. Therefore be it ordained resolved by the Porter County Council of Porter County Indiana that for the expenses of the taxing unit the following additional sums of money are hereby appropriated out of the funds named and for the purposes specified subject to the laws governing the same.

General Fund			
Sheriff	1000-37100-000-0005	Equipment	\$ 60,000.00
ITS	1000-32100-000-0106	Travel	\$ 500.00

Superior Court III	1000-22200-000-0203	Uniforms and Clothing	\$	500.00
			\$	61,000.00

Highway Fund

Highway Fund	1176-11300-000-0530	Overtime	\$	50,000.00
	1176-23620-000-0530	Bituminous	\$	62,587.00
			\$	112,587.00

Public Defender Supplemental Fund

Public Defender Sup Fund	1200-13100-000-0271	Legal Services	\$	15,000.00
--------------------------	---------------------	----------------	----	-----------

Surveyor's Perpetuation Fund

Surveyor's Perpetuation	1202-44200-000-0006	Motor Vehicles	\$	35,000.00
-------------------------	---------------------	----------------	----	-----------

County User Fee Fund

County User Fee Fund	2504-31300-000-0005	Training and Education	\$	20,000.00
----------------------	---------------------	------------------------	----	-----------

Drug Task Force Fund

Drug Task Force Fund	4010-45100-000-0005	Data Processing Equipment	\$	5,000.00
----------------------	---------------------	---------------------------	----	----------

Sheriff DEA Proceeds Fund

Sheriff DEA Proceeds Fund	8118-37100-000-0005	Equipment	\$	174,000.00
---------------------------	---------------------	-----------	----	------------

Court Interpreter Grant Fund

Court Interpreter Grant	9108-31700-000-0226	Interpreter	\$	1,594.64
-------------------------	---------------------	-------------	----	----------

And that concludes second reading.

Mr. Bozak, Thank you Ms. Vicki. Do you need a motion for that or just roll call?

Mr. Whitten, I move to approve.

Mr. Jessen, Second.

Mr. Graham, Second.

Mr. Bozak, First and Second any discussion? Hearing none roll call please?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik,

Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Ms. Conover	-	Yes
Ms. Graham	-	Yes
Mr. Jessen	-	Yes
Mr. Larson	-	Yes
Mr. Whitten	-	Yes
Mr. Bozak	-	Yes
Mr. Rivas	-	Yes

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, 7-0

Attorney's Report

Mr. Bozak, Next up we the Attorney report Mr. Harper?

Council Attorney Harold Harper, Nothing new to report specifically other than the Hospital Trailing Liability fund. There is two remaining cases, one they are expecting to get a trial date on that the panel for favorable in regards to the Hospital so I don't anticipate anything substantively changing anytime in the next two or three months. And the attorneys that are handling it on the outside are known to keep me posted if anything changes.

Mr. Bozak, Does anyone have any questions for Mr. Harper?

Any other matter that may properly come before the Council

Mr. Whitten, No but we are getting to the other matters than may properly come before the Council so I had something specifically for Harold that I wanted to bring up and chat about with the group and see what direction the group is willing to go with respect to what I would like to ask. So you guys may or may not...I'm suspecting may have read in the paper that I have had a degree of consternation with respect to our IV-D move. And just to bring everybody up to speed...I mean I have said several time and I can't imagine anybody would disagree with me on this, that things haven't gone exactly the way that they are supposed to go. What I'm talking about is transparency in Government, open communications and being made abreast of what was going on and as you know though this whole discussion about purchasing the Old Jail back we talked about the reasons that we needed the Old Jail and we were told various reasons...lots of reasons but one of them was we were going to move the IV-D division over. Now when I asked when that was going to occur I was given estimations between Ninety (90) and One-Hundred and Eighty (180) days and I was also told that it's a \$100,000 dollars a year savings, I didn't come up with those numbers, those were in response to my questions. So as you guys now know as do I, that when the Commissioners were talking with the IV-D division about moving over and the IV-D division was giving them sizes and numbers of people and all those sorts of things that when they were going through that whole rigmarole they got notice from the current landlord/owner of the building that in fact we are under a lease extension through 2024. Now that caused all of us some degree of surprise I would say because, that never came up during our discussion about the IV-D division moving over, which, of course prompted my beginning to ask questions and I don't know if the rest of you have... maybe but I speaking for myself I wanted to know what lease extension are we talking about. When in fact we've all been talking about the V-Division moving over this year...well at that time then I made a request specific to the Commissioner Office for a copy of the Lease extending and that's when I was given as you all have I would expect this lease condition that was signed in 2014 carrying the lease out through 2024. Now I was on the Council in 2014 and I've got to tell you we were never told about all these extension.

And let me just tell the rest of you that since you probably weren't here or some of you we're here then in 2012 when the lease came up for increase to the rent I asked a lot of questions in that meeting and you are certainly welcome to check the minutes...about how this lease payment was going up, what was happening here? Because all I've ever seen was this original 1999...or 2000 to 2006 lease. It was then that I've learned in 2012 apparently that the lease did run through 2006 it had an auto renewal that if we didn't do something apparently at the Commissioner's office in a one hundred and eighty (180) days it automatically renewed. Well apparently the one hundred and eighty (180) days passed and nobody at the Commissioner's office did anything about it except in October of 2007 after the one hundred and eighty (180) days had run, they signed or Commissioner Evans signed an extension then in 2007 that took the lease from 2012...starting in 2012 extension out through 2018. Well that seemed very, very odd to me in 2012 and I asked a lot of questions as did others on the Council and we were told at that time the lease runs out in 2018 that's just the way it is, the lease extension was auto renew and that's the way it goes. I voted no to that rent increase because I did not think at that time and I think that Jeremy you were along then and voted no as well. I think even Polarek was on then and voted no. We asked a lot of questions about that...how did they come up with the rent increase, where did the numbers come from we never really got good answers to that, so that was in 2012. Well then was in 2014 and the Prosecutor's office came in front of us in May of 2014...in May I want to get the timeline right here and they are asking for

equipment or desks and stuff for that office and I specifically asked when is this lease running out? Now this is May 2014, I specifically asked the Prosecutors office head of the IV-D when does this lease run out? It's over in 2018 was the answer, that's May 2014. Then as a follow up Councilman Poparad said are you sure it's 2018 right, it's not one of those forever leases? And again the answer was 2018, and then Councilman Rivas asked and I want to be clear this is up in 2018? And the answer was yes this lease was over in 2018. Now the oddity about that is that a month prior to that the Commissioners had extended by way of John Evans the lease to 2024. A month before we asked that question and four month before we asked that question the Prosecutor had signed the lease extension to 2024. So we are asking his IV-D Supervisor three different times in that meeting when does this lease run out and three different times to absolute certainty the answer was 2018. Unbeknownst to her apparently and any of us obviously they've extended it to 2024 and none of us knew. And the reason that we didn't know because it was not on the agendas and it was not advertised, they did it at the Commissioners meeting and tied it up extended it and that was the end and nobody told this body about doing that. But yet we are sitting here now today flash forward the current Commissioners was clearly had no clue about the lease extension and the County Attorney had no clue about the lease extension we are apparently stuck to 2024. Now on top of that there is a tax exemption on that building now because of certain square footage is being used for government purposes. But when I look at the tax exemption request it doesn't appear because it's confusing because it doesn't appear that the square footage matches that in this lease that I looked at. So we've got this exemption where we've got the square footage that doesn't quite match the lease. We've got this weird extension done in 2007 which starts in 2012. We've got four years before that extension over this other extension that nobody knew about including the person that runs that office and a week before the Commissioners had that meeting had extended the lease, a week before where it just came up at the meeting. Before that the Prosecutor's already signed the lease extension, before it never even reached the light of day in a public meeting. Now if anybody doesn't...and you know that we can all take shelter for whomever we want to, but the fact of the matter is, if we don't see that as a general head scratcher. If we don't have questions about that, if we don't see that as a problem with Government transparency then I don't know what we are doing up here. And I've heard well you know the Prosecutor didn't break the law and that's how we're...the Prosecutor didn't break the law? That's the standard? I thought the standard was what's best for the taxpayers, so I would like to know a couple of things...now I would like to know A. Is that extension enforceable? Is there any mechanism in which we can terminate that extension? And I would like to know, because I know that there is some notice requirements for that type of exemption. I know that there are, I don't know the specifics of what they did when they got the exemption. But I'd like to know if that's been complied with...am I wrong Jon, was there some...there was an exemption right?

Assessor Jon Snyder, Yes.

Mr. Whitten, Does anyone have a problem with that?

Mr. Rivas, I have got a question on that? When you say you would like you would like to know that, Harold are you going to look into that?

Council Attorney Harold Harper, That is something that I would be more than happy to do of course.

Mr. Rivas, Whether we can get out of that lease or not?

Council Attorney Harold Harper, Whether the extension is enforceable, are there any provisions whereby it can be terminated. Are there any provisions whereby the exemption can be terminated if all provisions were complied with regard to the exemption it sounds like those were some of the questions that you have.

Mr. Rivas, We are talking about what? I mean part of the reason we purchased that building was to move some offices over there. So we're talking about what a half of a million dollars (\$500,000) for the next six years roughly with we are paying what over \$80,000 dollars that's a lot of money of taxpayer dollars. But Scott I am

interested because if I remember you were going to start talking to some different departments about moving over there. Did you ever have any conversation with the Prosecutor about moving IV-D over there prior to us purchasing that building? Because where I am going with this then obviously signed that he had to know that it was going to 2024 and you are having a conversation with him about moving some of his offices over there. Did he indicate at some point and time well we can't move that office because I signed an extension in 2014, did he offer that up to you?

County Attorney Scott McClure, No.

Mr. Rivas, So that really... alright to me that's a problem.

Ms. Graham, I have to say I made the motion that we purchase the Old Jail feeling very comfortable, Mr. Biggs came before us...that we were going to be in the long run we were going to be saving money and we would be utilizing it. And the main thing we were going to do is move that IV-D Court...

Mr. Whitten, Not the Court.

Ms. Graham, Right.

Mr. Whitten, Not the Court the IV-D Office.

Ms. Graham, The IV-D Office, I'm sorry and it just really bothersome that this is...to me this is just so unethical and that's my opinion. It's not being true...not being fair to the taxpayer this type of business would be occurring in county government right here in Porter County. And I really think that anybody that was involved with that in all good conscience would be to just withdraw from what their job from what they are doing. It just is unexplainable to me why...these people had to be knowing that this contract existed and you're saying that, that was never brought up in any conversations you had?

County Attorney Scott McClure, The way that I found out about events that I believe that Mr. Whitten covered is when I got the copy forwarded to me about the letter informing us of our rent increase for 2019. Up to that point and time we had...I had a handful of conversations with the head of IV-D concerning head count and different Federal and IRS conversations concerning the new space and build out so we were heading in that direction as we were on the eve of purchasing the building's seller.

Ms. Graham, Well I tell you thank you but in my opinion I really think this is County Government at it's worst.

Mr. Rivas, So I've heard a lot about market analysis, you know recently there has been a lot questions and a lot of conversations about the amount of rent we're paying over there to what we should be paying, I mean is there a...Scott are you aware of a policy that the county would have before we go out and pay rent to do a market analysis? Because this original contract was what 1999?

Mr. Whitten, Yes.

Mr. Rivas, So is there a market analysis done? I don't know who was on the Board of Commissioners at that time; did that Board of Commissioners do a market analysis and then was that point and time did that Board of Commissioners do it that came up with that price? And then from there on through every renewal was there another analysis done to figure out what the going rate is? So is there an original market analysis with the original contract?

Mr. Whitten, No is his answer, I think he is coming up for you.

County Attorney Scott McClure, There is no market analysis when the original lease was done in 1999.

Mr. Whitten, Who signed that lease? Who were the Commissioners then?

County Attorney Scott McClure, Brian Jesse, Mr. Biggs and Larry Sheets. Mr. Douglas was the Prosecutor.

Mr. Whitten, Ok.

Mr. Rivas, Your telling me Mr. Biggs signed the contract in 1999?

County Attorney Scott McClure, Correct.

Mr. Rivas, And there was no market analysis done or requested? I guess I don't know the minutes back then...I just don't...

County Attorney Scott McClure, And I have not done a thorough review of the 1999 Commissioners minutes but that's who sign the original lease.

Mr. Rivas, It just...I don't know...

County Attorney Scott McClure, And then we had an extension in 2007.

Mr. Rivas, This things taken a new turn...

County Attorney Scott McClure, The first extension.

Mr. Rivas, every question that we ask is taking a new turn and Sylvia I think you are right it's our responsibility as government to be the oversight. To look at things like that to protect the taxpayer dollars. I think that it appears that some elected officials in the past haven't done their job.

Mr. Bozak, Jeremy to...if you don't mind can I ask a question to Attorney Harper? Is this, the questions that Dan asked you does it make more sense to have a real estate attorney or someone outside off our body look at this. I don't know what your background is with this kind of stuff.

Council Attorney Harold Harper, Well I certainly with regards to market analysis questions and whether standard practice was I would certainly defer to a real estate attorney. But in terms of the questions that Dan was asking about enforceability of the extensions...whether the Council has any and I wouldn't call it for lack of a better phrase veto authority. Or is there any mechanism statutorily whereby the Council can step in...I would feel more than comfortable answering those questions. But in terms of issues about market analysis and whether at that time that was standard of care or what was appropriate I would defer to a real estate attorney otherwise.

Mr. Whitten, If I might...Jon our Assessor is here...do you have, I mean do you...I asked you a while ago to look at market analysis, do you have an attorney that you use for that sort of stuff? I don't know if that is really our big question here, I mean come on guys...

Ms. Graham, Right.

Mr. Whitten, I'm more interested in we've got this extension, this secret extension and we're all kind of shocked by it and what can we do about it, that's what I really want to get at.

Mr. Bozak, Well that's my point to is if there is an opportunity to get out of the lease is Harold the man to find that?

Mr. Whitten, Right.

Mr. Bozak, I don't know and that's what I was trying to ask?

Mr. Whitten, Gotcha.

Mr. Rivas, So Harold you will guide us through this and if you think that this Board should look at going and hiring a...

Council Attorney Harold Harper, I can tell that I have provisionally reviewed some of the possible statues that could apply to a situation like this. So yeah I am more than happy to do that, I think that's my responsibility and I feel comfortable doing that.

Mr. Whitten, Alright.

Mr. Rivas, So Dan those 2012 minutes are...so they came for an increase...

Mr. Whitten, Right.

Mr. Rivas, Well so who presented the increase the Commissioners?

Mr. Whitten, The Prosecutor.

Mr. Rivas, The Prosecutor?

Mr. Whitten, Yes.

Mr. Rivas, in 2012.

Mr. Whitten, You voted no, Polarek voted no, I voted no, everybody else voted yes. Which is fine I mean they were comfortable with it, we weren't we had questions and yes I know what your next question is going to be...yes Jim Biggs voted for it.

Ms. Graham, And I could honestly say I voted for it and I was comfortable with it because I felt that the people elected at that time, had people in their departments...everybody was working in good faith and I never dreamt that there was anything inappropriate.

Mr. Larson, I'd like to add something to this...I'm sitting back listening to all of us and evidently I voted to pay this last year because it's an individual line item in that IV-D amount. And Vickie said it's about \$87,757 dollars per year so it's a large enough figure that we would notice that it's there. It would be crazy for us to think that we rent a building with that amount of square footage year by year so we know that we have to be tied into some term on some lease...it's a commercial property. So the build out not defending who owns the property or anyone else, the question would be what is the term for a typical lease? And the duration is it ten years? Is it five years? Do we have some similar buildings that we lease like that and what are those agreements? Because we can't go lease by lease or year by year on lease, that's not going to happen in a Commercial structure. I'm not going to do a commercial build-out for somebody and rent it year by year. I'm going to rent it five (5) years, seven (7) or ten (10) years to recover my build out fees. So is this outrageously expensive and at what point are we not told at all how long are term was going to be as a lease?

Mr. Whitten, Well you see Jeff I...so we talk about the budget you have to understand that everything that we've been told...You got to understand that in 2012 at least the Commissioners knew, I had an issue with it. And in 2014 the Prosecutor's office told us it expires in 2018 the end. So when we were going through the budget sessions last year we all thought it expired in 2018, that's what we all thought because that's what we had been told.

Mr. Larson, So from 1999 to 2018 we figured that was going to be the extent of this structure?

Mr. Whitten, Well kind of because again the first lease was from 2000 to 2006 and then with a auto renewal which I don't know what they did in 2007, but a 180 days came and went and then they...Commissioner Evans signed the extension in 2007 saying we'll extend it from 2012 to 2018. So that was...so in 2012 we were sitting here finding out that in 2007 he'd extended it. So we are sitting 2012 thinking we're coming to the end of that rainbow...

Mr. Larson, So it would be ten (10) years or eleven (11)...roughly ten (10) or eleven (11)?

Mr. Whitten, Yeah, and they were doing them in seven (7) years, seven (7) years, six (6) years, six (6) years but they were adding the six (6) years on with three (3) or four (4) years left on the contract so it was drawing it out eight (8) years.

Mr. Larson, Right.

Mr. Whitten, So we were all thinking this thing expires in 2018 as they were or at least that's what they were saying.

County Attorney Scott McClure, So October 16th, 2007 is the minutes the Commissioners that the Commissioners approved that 2007 extension taking us out to 2018.

Council Attorney Harold Harper, And Jeff to your question, the issue of what's a standard reasonable lease for a property like that That is a question that I would defer to a real estate professional. But in terms of the...and I am just letting you know that the value and would certainly do if the Body decided that you wanted me to look at this I would probably consult with someone in regards to that issue, but in regards to those issues that Dan is concerned about I think I can answer those.

Mr. Larson, I would hope that we would look at breaking the lease first and not spending any money on having the appraisal done because we are already spending a lot of money on the structure.

Mr. Whitten, I would agree...that's right I agree 100% percent.

Mr. Larson, I think the first step is let's see what we can do as a fiscal body and also what you can do for us on the lease agreement.

Mr. Whitten, I would agree with that.

Mr. Jessen, The reality of it is it might be a good lease, it might be a bad lease...it might be fair. I mean I don't know, I mean the people responsible for signing it have to answer that question as to whether they did their due diligence.

Mr. Whitten, Right.

Mr. Jessen, The question that we have today really is, is it a legally binding lease?

Mr. Larson, Right.

Mr. Jessen, Because if it's a legally binding lease regardless if we wanted or not if people in their respected positions signed it then as a County my guess would be we would have to honor it. And so that would be an interesting question to find out if there is an option to somehow, somehow get out of it. Most of what I have heard and observed so far leads me to believe that it's probably a legally binding lease and we'll see. I think part of what we should focus on is what do we do to move forward? I mean we could talk about what happened back in 1999 or 2012 or 2014 or whatever, but where we are at now is find out if it's a legally binding lease and if it is then the next question is what else can we do to minimize the damage or minimize the cost to the County. There are things that you can do if you are not happy with the lease as a tenant we can say approach the building owner and talk about a potential lease reduction.

Ms. Graham, Right.

Mr. Jessen, Ask them whether or not based on circumstances based on timing would they be interested in reducing the rent. That happens to commercial leases all over the place all the time. Talk about a potential escape option, I guessing in the

lease there is not an escape but would there be a consideration to potentially to have one put in after the fact based on the considerations. Possibility of subletting the property, have we talked or spent any energy considering that as an option? Because again if we are stuck with the lease we've got to do something so sublet it out if possible. I don't know if the lease allows for that or if that's something that we can do and I think what's most compelling to me is it's clear that we're not fully aware of what exactly happened or why we were all under the impression that the lease was different than what we anticipated and one thing that I would say in a positive or proactive way or approach would be can we if we have not already, which in this case it's clear that we didn't do it thoroughly enough. But I think we should be in a position as a county to say what other leases are there out there that we may or not be aware of, or may or not be in agreement with. Are there other financial obligations that we are as a county bound to that we may or may not be aware of? Or may or may not agree too and I think for our benefit, for the Commissioners benefit for county government there needs to be a thorough review of any and all leases, any and all financial obligations that the county is under beyond let's say the fiscal year. And make sure that there is full disclosure of any and all of those issues.

Mr. Whitten, Well...and along that vein there I must say...I must say that when Scott moved from County Council Attorney to County Attorney he was doing his first daunting task and I won't speak for you Scott was to go through and look at all the existing leases. On behalf of the Council as you may recall I dug in and started looking at some of these leases, most glaring are the ones that I was concerned about were the auto-renew leases. Because I thought those always were a flag to me when you are talking about taxpayer money, because if you don't do anything in 90 days...tag you're it. So those caused me a great deal of concern and I have had many meetings with Scott over that. I will tell you that I requested copies of all the leases, I've got a bankers box full of them, and this lease extension was not in there. So that's kind of interesting when you think about it and there were quite a few leases that were head scratchers. But I think that they have gone through a pretty painful process to try to weed some of those out correct?

County Attorney Scott McClure, Correct and we've also instituted a policy of no auto-renewals unless specifically approved.

Mr. Whitten, I mean we were looking at some of these contracts and frankly they were a mystery to me as to how do we would do them.

County Attorney Scott McClure, I guess I would...leases and contracts are two different things.

Mr. Whitten, Right...yes right.

Mr. Rivas, And you bring up a couple of interesting points, the subletting of that property, that's interesting if we are anywhere near what the market will take...if that \$28 dollars is way above nobody is going to rent it for that. But it's an interesting concept that Harold can look into also for sure. And then in terms of a policy is that something that maybe the Commissioners can look at when we are talking about leasing space. I mean before we go and sign a contract/lease agreement are we doing an analysis?

Mr. Bozak, Is it coming before the Council?

County Attorney Scott McClure, It doesn't come before the Council.

Mr. Whitten, So here is the thing, from our perspective when we have questions about do we have a contract on this, do we have a lease on that? What we do is we ask the Commissioners...hey do you have a contract on this? Do you have a lease on this? If we want to know what the Library is doing we ask the Library board hey what is your lease on that building and they give it to us. That's what we did here, I mean that's what we did and with respect to the Prosecutor's Office they are the tenant too we asked them. I mean that's what we do, that's our due diligence other than if you want to take...and I've got to tell you that bankers box of contracts and leases took a long time to go through. We don't have the time to do that all the

time. We have to rely on the office holders and they have to rely on them having the proper information to give us it correctly. But when I read Commissioners Good's comment in the paper I was just as shocked as the rest of them. Guys we at least fundamentally agree that Government has to function with transparency and I've said that in meetings and I've said that in this meeting many times and I've also said in this meeting many times that as Elected Officials we are held to a higher standard it's not our money it's taxpayer money. So if we take those presumptions as truth we have to be concerned about how this occurred and we have to be concerned that it does not occur again because this is unacceptable by any of our standards I would think. And this isn't about the landlord this isn't about the building that's for someone else to decide. I'm wondering why we as Elected Officials were given incorrect information because the people we asked had incorrect information and back in 2014, literally a month after we're getting incorrect information. That's a real problem...that's a real problem for me. So I think we do and I want to know about that exemption because when I look at that statute it's very convoluted, there are a couple of things in there that jump out at me one is that the political subdivisions when they enter into a contract...when the executive enters into a contract it's contingent upon fiscal body appropriation, I want to know if that carries any weight. I want to know with this business of the exemption, there is some notice requirements and I want to know if we are part of that notice requirement, because we didn't get noticed. So these are things that I want to know, and you're right Mike if we find that the answer is sorry guys it sucks but you're all in until 2024. Then we need to talk about mitigating, I think it's all those things that you talked about trying to sublet to see if we can, trying to work out some early termination...all that stuff. But before we get to base two I want to see how we are looking on base one.

Mr. Rivas, I guess one final thing...what we're talking about here, what we're into, obviously Harold is going to do a little research...What are the Commissioners doing right now? Have you guys just decided that we're just moving forward, we didn't have all the information? Are you guys going to work with Harold to see if there is something in there that can get us out?

Ms. Graham, I think what he is asking is are you concerned at all that this occurred?

Mr. Rivas, (Laughter)

County Attorney Scott McClure, Sylvia come on...

Ms. Graham, I mean really...

(Laughter)

County Attorney Scott McClure, Well come on of course I'm concerned I stood in front of this Council and told you the lease was expiring in 2018.

Ms. Graham, Yes you did...

County Attorney Scott McClure, Ok.

Ms. Graham, And then we...yep.

County Attorney Scott McClure, So of course I am concerned. But my preliminary view of it and of course I'll work with Harold to review it, it's a valid lease. It was passed to the Commissioners, it was voted on it's in the minutes.

Mr. Graham, I think Mike did make some...

County Attorney Scott McClure, Now can we take a look at the exemption to see whether that was done correctly? Sure.

Ms. Graham, That's good.

County Attorney Scott McClure, Can we look at the subleasing? Sure those are all possibilities. But I think we all have a level...a degree of reality if in fact after we make a final review of the contract, if in fact it doesn't have an escape hatch so to speak or appropriation statute escape hatches then we are dealing with a lease.

Mr. Larson, I think moving forward Scott as a fiscal body we're going to have to ask for the contract before we approve any additional increments...

Mr. Whitten, Yes.

Mr. Larson, and lease agreements.

Ms. Graham, At least yeah.

Mr. Larson, So I think that it is partly our fault evidently we gave an increase and didn't ask for the contract or weren't provided the contract to see what that extension time was back whenever that was approved. But from this day forward if we are looking for an increase in rent for something we want to see what the term of that contract is the day we approve it.

Mr. Whitten, I agree with that except I'd make one correction in 2012 when that vote came up to justify the votes that voted yes, that's when they gave us that one that was signed in 2007. So when we were faced with the increase in 2012 we did ask for it and that's when we were shown the contract extension signed in 2007 that extended from 2018.

Mr. Larson, So that increase took place in 2007?

Mr. Whitten, Yeah so I don't want you to think that the folks that voted yes didn't ask because they did look and they were given a copy of the extension through 2018.

Mr. Larson, So the 2014 to 2024 was made without our knowledge at all and there was no increase in pay to any of that?

Mr. Whitten, That is correct.

County Attorney Scott McClure, Say that again?

Mr. Whitten, The 2014...

Mr. Larson, The 2014 to the 2024 whatever the term is...

County Attorney Scott McClure, It would be 2019 through 2024.

Mr. Larson, 2019 through 2024...

Mr. Whitten, Yeah it was done in 2014 but it extended it out.

Mr. Larson, Yeah 2014, there was no increase at that time so there was not a question as whether it was a contract or not (inaudible) increase.

County Attorney Scott McClure, Part of the 2014...

Mr. Whitten, You have to understand that in 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 we're operating under the terms of the lease that we were told that showed expired in 2018 so there were some things going on in that lease...but we thought because that's the last thing that we we're given that it expired in 2018 so it wouldn't of triggered something odd until right now.

Mr. Larson, Ok.

Mr. Jessen, Let me ask this question? When this Board reviewed it and made the allocation for additional funding for it an increase, we weren't aware of what the increase was? Or what the dollar amount we were paying for that lease?

Mr. Whitten, Yeah I voted no, but yes I'm talking now about this extension.

Mr. Jessen, So the question is really part of what we've said is the lease is too high, we're paying too much and that's blasphemy. Part of it is we're saying that we weren't aware of this extension to 2024? To me those are two separate topics.

Mr. Whitten, That's correct it's two separate issues.

Mr. Jessen, So we are really more concerned about this extension that no one was apparently aware of than we are that it's an exorbitant rent amount?

Mr. Whitten, Well I mean...let's start with question one before we have to start...because if we...listen if Harold comes back and says I talked with Scott by golly we can get out of this, I don't care what the rent is we're done. But if he comes back and says we're stuck then maybe we do need to look at what fair rent is. I mean I think we do because that contract is driven off of CPI and I want to know.

Mr. Jessen, But to be clear we had...the Council had the opportunity to question the dollars of that lease previously.

Mr. Whitten, The last lease we knew about.

Mr. Jessen, Ok.

Mr. Whitten, Not this extension and again I voted no.

Mr. Jessen, Alright I gotcha.

Mr. Bozak, Councilwoman Conover?

Ms. Conover, I guess I just wanted to say that we were talking about transparency, Scott had mentioned there is minutes to this lease extension in 2014. The lease extension that we did not know about, but it was all done in a public setting at the Commissioners meeting in a public format, and approved as such and the minutes approved?

County Attorney Scott McClure, Correct.

Ms. Conover, Correct, that's the issue I have a problem with not that it happened it was all done in public, but here's an agreement with one arm of our government approving a lease and now today we sit here or last we sat here scratching our heads because we didn't know. And I own that I didn't know either, I thought 2018 this was done.

Ms. Graham, Yep.

Ms. Conover, But alright here is a county vendor or a former county vendor because this person doesn't own the building anymore either that enters into this lease, does so in public and they're being accused of being unethical in the newspaper. I think that sends out a bad message to county government...I just do and this is a complicated issue and I didn't know...I didn't.

Mr. Whitten, You will note Karen that my comments very strongly tonight are about government transparency.

Ms. Conover, Yes absolutely.

Mr. Whitten, And this business of and I am not saying you said this but I've heard from others well gosh it was in the minutes of the Commissioners meeting in 2014. Ok, first of all do you know what the Library Board did last month?

Ms. Conover, No I didn't read the minutes.

Mr. Whitten, Do you know...well the way we find that out is we ask them. We ask them hey, what going on with this? So that's how we get our information and then we did ask in 2014 and we got the wrong answer. And that's real problematic, that's a real problem for me, because that is a direct deceiving answer. I'm talking about this...you're talking about vendors. I'm talking about the people that are elected to look out for the taxpayer dollar for the best interest of the taxpayers, we ask them questions, they know from the 2012 meetings that at least I've got problems with this lease. You know I've got questions I voted no and you extend this thing three years early and then don't tell us. I mean that's a real problem in breakdown with government and I've got to tell you this is just not the way government is supposed to operate. Would we do something...would we cut the Commissioners or the Plan Commissions budget to a dollar and not tell them?

Ms. Graham, No.

Mr. Whitten, Of course we wouldn't, we wouldn't do anything without telling Joy send that guy a letter let them know what happened. Because we want all facets of county government to be truthful, to be honest to be open in communication, to be transparent and to work together that's what we do. Party, politics...all that crap none of that stuff comes into this. We want to all work together, we want to rely on each other to give us open and honest information and we make decisions as the fiscal body of this county based upon that information that we are given. And when that information is an outright mistruth or some sleight of hand the whole system breaks down and that's problematic here and anybody sitting up here that isn't scratching their head and saying oh my gosh I like that guy but my god what was he doing? What do you mean we've been talking about IV-D moving over it's been in the newspaper, we've met with these people and they have been giving us head counts and size of desks and don't do the final drawings on the new building because we've got all this stuff going in and they signed the contract extension. There is something fundamentally wrong when that happens and we cannot have that happen again and you guys know me I get ticked off when something like that happens and I don't care who is sitting in front of me. That's just not the way government is supposed to operate. So I want to know that question, I think we all want to know that question and then we can make our decision based on that.

Mr. Jessen, In fact I'd like to make a motion, I'd like to make a motion that Attorney McClure, Attorney Harper and Madame Auditor go through a thorough review of all leases that may or may not be out there because I don't know if there are others out there and the only way that we will know is if we dig into it. I'd also like to have them review and I know we have a lot of work in terms of minimizing contracts per year and not renewing contracts and all that which is great. But I want to do an additional check to make sure that that's still in play and to make sure that there is not...if there is any other obligation that takes us beyond this year whether it be a lease, whether it be a maintenance agreement, whether it be a contract that we have signed somewhere along the way. That it's listed out and then distributed to the Council, distributed to the Commissioners, it's available for the public and so that everybody know what the County is...what the County's obligation is beyond the current fiscal year. And in that spirit of transparency there shouldn't be anything out there that none of us are unaware of and I think that would make sense. That helps move us forward to say that we are going to act in a spirit of transparency, we are going to make sure that everybody is on the same page and next year or two years from now we're not going to say well here's another agreement that we obligated ourselves to that somehow somehow not enough of us were aware of it. That's my motion.

Mr. Whitten, I'll second that motion.

Mr. Bozak, Ok we have a first and second is there any discussion?

Mr. Larson, I'd just like to see that line item just like that lease, is there a way that we can require the department heads to put the maturity date behind that

number so that we would know when those...so we're not scratching our heads every year? So say that's 2024 maturity date listed is \$87,000 dollars this year and we know that it's in effect until 2024...

Mr. Jessen, That's going to be on the that list.

Mr. Whitten, You are going to have to jump in here dude...

Mr. Bozak, In the LOW system right, are you talking Vicki's system?

County Attorney Scott McClure, Again I going too...please make a...again there is a big difference between leases and...

Mr. Larson, Right, right leases.

County Attorney Scott McClure, contracts.

Mr. Larson, Leases is what I'd be wanting.

County Attorney Scott McClure, There is a monumental difference between leases and contracts.

Mr. Bozak, Well he specified maintenance agreements too in this motion (inaudible).

County Attorney Scott McClure, Exactly, exactly what I am pointing out there is a monumental difference between leases and contracts.

Mr. Larson, This isn't the first time this year though, didn't we just have and elevator issue just not that long ago and some other things right? We've worked on several things that have been auto renewals, it's killing us.

Mr. Whitten, We've got a motion and a second Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Bozak, Any further discussion?

Ms. Graham, And that includes what you had spoken to Harold before you...?

Mr. Whitten, No this is a separate thing.

Ms. Graham, Ok.

Mr. Jessen, No my motion is moving forward.

Ms. Graham, Ok.

Mr. Bozak, My biggest fear and maybe I am wrong, but if we are talking about all maintenance agreements although I love the idea and the thought behind it but what kind of work are we talking about because you have all of these departments? Is that information able to be gathered when you are talking about agreements for printers and stuff like that?

County Attorney Scott McClure, It's...

Mr. Jessen, The first question that I would have the Department Heads who sign or enter into those agreements are they aware of them?

Mr. Larson, Right.

Mr. Jessen, That should be a starting point and they should be aware of them.

Mr. Bozak, They should be that's why I'm asking the question.

Mr. Jessen, And they should be able to memorialize it and present it and say this is what the County is obligated to beyond 2018.

Mr. Rivas, Scott what's your frustration over there?

County Attorney Scott McClure, I don't think you have any idea on how many contracts you are talking about.

Mr. Whitten, There's a lot of them.

County Attorney Scott McClure, I don't have a problem doing it, I'm just letting you know it will be by department...

Mr. Jessen, How do we eliminate the surprises?

County Attorney Scott McClure, I mean for instance the body cameras contract that takes you out for five (5) years, that's one contract for the Sheriff's Department. We have the Jail Medical contract that goes out...I mean there are varied...let's put it a different way there are very few contracts that aren't obligating us past 2018. Now they may be two (2), three (3), four (4) year contracts but as far as leases go maybe one more.

Mr. Bozak, It's not an auto renew one?

County Attorney Scott McClure, No,

Council Attorney Harold Harper, Don't you think...

County Attorney Scott McClure, There is one more department I believe that is renting space for the time being.

Mr. Rivas, Health Department?

County Attorney Scott McClure, The Health Department in Portage.

Council Attorney Harold Harper, The other issue that we could do put a limit on it....

County Attorney Scott McClure, I don't mind if we want to go department by department and line out the contracts that's fine I don't...I just want you to understand that this isn't going to be no thirty day turnaround.

Mr. Whitten, Ok, so here is the thing I agree with you because here is one thing that we had lots of discussions about from this board...at this board level when the whole contract question came up some years ago and Scott you know...was that the Commissioners were surprised by some of the contracts that they saw.

County Attorney Scott McClure, The issue was the auto renewal.

Mr. Whitten, Yes, the Commissioners...

County Attorney Scott McClure, So the issue of going in and surgically...

Mr. Whitten, No, no it wasn't just the auto renewal there were other things like we're paying what when they come out and look at what? So it wasn't just the auto renewals. The Commissioners were surprised by some of the contracts and yeah the auto renewals kept them alive. But even the Commissioners were somewhat shocked by some of these maintenance agreements. So...

County Attorney Scott McClure, Again...

Mr. Rivas, Hold on how could they be surprised by them Dan unless...

Mr. Whitten, Because they were signed before.

Mr. Rivas, By a previous Board of Commissioners.

Mr. Whitten, Right that's correct. This isn't an indictment of anyone sitting in office now I'm just asking...I'm just telling you that there was this tremendous amount of deer in the headlights look when they started peeling back that onion called the maintenance contracts.

County Attorney Scott McClure, Well and that's why that was the genesis of developing the Facilities Department.

Mr. Whitten, So why don't did we get that list? Why don't we follow-up on Mike's motion and get that list of things and we can go through it.

Mr. Jessen, It will be an enormous...a big job to oversee I get it. However I think that we can put...

Mr. Larson, Split it up.

Mr. Jessen, Put the workload on the Department Heads in terms of gathering it, they're the ones that are responsible for it. The Sheriff ought to be able to articulate what he is committed to beyond this year. The Coroner should...who else is here? The Assessor should, the Clerks should, the Recorder...everybody should be able to say this is what I am obligated through...in my office in my department this is what we are obligated to beyond 2018 and it's on a list.

Council Attorney Harold Harper, Well the only question that I had was are we going to put as Scott had mentioned leases, contracts, maintenance agreements and if so if we're talking about all of those are we going...do you want to put a limiter on them Mike in terms of amount or just have...?

Mr. Jessen, I think if we're going to take ownership as a Board responsible for the County Government the first step is to be aware of what it out there because arguably it's pretty clear we don't know. And I would think that we would all want to know.

Mr. Rivas, We don't know when the system breaks down on things.

Mr. Jessen, Oh yeah.

Mr. Rivas, Information wasn't passed from department to department from Elected Official to Elected Official.

Mr. Jessen, Ok we don't know what that is so we have got to find out where the breakdown has been.

Mr. Whitten, Alright that sounds great and I know Sylvia you're probably going to say this is like micromanaging but maybe...

Ms. Graham, Exactly.

Mr. Whitten, Maybe we're to the point where that's what we need to do at least for a little bit. At least not micromanage to the extent that...let's see what contracts are out there.

Mr. Larson, I would agree with Dan that's basically all we're doing is we just want an over sight what's there.

Ms. Graham, Well I would take a look at what out their but to actually say that we are going to take the...

Mr. Rivas, So we don't get into this again.

Mr. Larson, Right we have learned.

Mr. Bozak, We have some department heads here right now why can't we ask them what kind of work would be involved and what their thoughts are?

Mr. Whitten, What do you say boys do you think you can handle this?

County Attorney Scott McClure, Bob how many...

Mr. Bozak, Doable?

Mr. Rivas, Relax Bob.

Mr. Bozak, His mouth was (inaudible)

County Attorney Scott McClure, How many contracts do we have between Plan, Storm and just those two off of the top?

Stormwater/Planning Director Bob Thompson, I've got a dozen just on Bridges alone.

Mr. Rivas, Construction contracts?

Ms. Graham, I don't feel...

Stormwater/Planning Director Bob Thompson, Construction contracts (inaudible)...

Mr. Rivas, I don't think that's what we are talking about entirely.

County Attorney Scott McClure, That's why I am asking you to do is to give...because otherwise this...to follow what your motion is right now which I don't...I want you to know that I don't care, anybody on the street can ask for these things. So the fact that you guys want them is no big deal, but if we are going to do it then it's going to literally have to be line the departments up and we are going to go one by one and then what do you want? Do you want them in a binder? I mean what do you want? And again I don't care, I don't but it's...there are...we did I mean the Bridge contracts alone are probably...

Mr. Whitten, Why don't you give us a list of the contracts that are out there with the expiration dates on them? Can't you just do that? You don't have to give us binders of the contracts if we have a question we will go over and ask for the contract.

Mr. Larson, Right.

Mr. Whitten, I mean we are not asking you to tear down forests to build boxes I know because I've got a bankers box of those things...I know.

County Attorney Scott McClure, And that was just the maintenance contracts.

Mr. Whitten, I know

Mr. Bozak, I'd like to clarify in the motion what kind of timeline are we looking for are we looking for a month, two...I mean how soon do we get this info back? Do you need by the end of the year?

Mr. Rivas, Bob what...

Mr. Jessen, I would say that we can definitely more clearly define what it is we are talking about in deference to what you are saying.

Mr. Whitten, You made the motion you have to clarify it man I am with you.

Mr. Jessen, I would say that we need to in a spirit of transparency we absolutely identify and I struggle saying significant or say put a dollar amount on it...it

might be easier and Scott maybe you can exclude certain things like the bridge contracts that would...

Stormwater/Planning Director Bob Thompson, It's a (inaudible)

Mr. Whitten, Why don't we start with things like leases, maintenance agreements let's start with those things.

Mr. Rivas, Yeah that's probably a little better, then we can...

Mr. Whitten, Let's start with those things.

County Attorney Scott McClure, Leases and maintenance agreements that will be a relatively short turnaround time.

Mr. Whitten, Let's start with that.

Mr. Jessen, And then that's my motion with the only addition is I'd like a list as part of the motion a list of what are these other contracts that would be so...such a monumental task to put it together. Just list them out its bridge contracts, it's whatever else that's in your office Bob, just to know what other types of things just the subject, what types of things that we as a county are obligating ourselves too, obligating the taxpayers too on an ongoing basis that we may or may not be aware of or may or may not want to get more involved with.

Mr. Bozak, So we have an amended first do you want to amend your second?

Mr. Larson, Before we go there Vicki...

Mr. Bozak, Hold one we have a first on the table. Do we have an amended second as well?

Mr. Whitten, Yes.

Mr. Bozak, Ms. Vicki?

Ms. Conover, Vicki and I were just talking back and forth, there's a lot of Gateway reporting that the County Auditor has to do now and so do Cities and Towns and I will give the floor to Vicki. A lot of what you are wanting is being provided on Gateway.

Mr. Jessen, It can't be that hard so tell us how it works?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, State statute requires that we upload over a cumulative of \$50,000 price.

Ms. Graham, Right.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, Cumulative price onto the Gateway so it is online. Also we in the Auditor's Office maintain a list of all contracts that are approved by the Commissioners. Because and correct me if I am wrong Scott the Commissioners are supposed to approve all contracts.

Mr. Rivas, So perfect this will be an easy process.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, And we tightened up our procedures between my office and the Commissioners to make sure that all contracts come to our office.

Mr. Whitten, Yeah because Vicki turning back the hands of time here a bit as you may recall...

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, Yes

Mr. Whitten, I came to you and said do you have a copy of this contract and you said no.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, No exactly.

Mr. Whitten, I came to you and said do you have a copy of that contract no the Commissioners didn't give that to me. Are you comfortable now that you have got all the contracts? Now we are not talking about these Commissioners we are talking about prior Commissioners.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, We just found just a few days ago that we didn't have.

Mr. Whitten, This is like an Easter Egg hunt.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, It is. So we've tighten it up and I confident in saying that from 2015 on the Commissioner's office and our office have worked together to do that with the new statute requiring our upload on to Gateway.

Mr. Rivas, Is this new contract you found of importance?

Mr. Whitten, We've got an amended motion and an amended second, so it sounds to me like from what the Auditor is saying that this should be a fairly easy task. You have the contracts and you're saying that you can confirm with the Commissioners that you have them all. Give us a list of the contracts so let's have a little look see.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, But in terms of expiration dates that's going to probably fall onto the Department Heads. For example I have contracts with the LOW system we don't have an expiration date it's assumed that it is going to go on but I have to give them a 90-day notice of cancelation.

Mr. Whitten, So it's an auto-renew.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, So some of those are going to be like it because we bought software it's assumed that we are going to keep using it.

Mr. Whitten, That will be good we'll be able to sift through it.

Mr. Jessen, Perpetual 90-day notice.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, Exactly that's how I would tell you that it's...

Mr. Bozak, I think your list would be good to remind the department heads.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, Ok.

Mr. Whitten, Let's see what going on this is exciting.

Mr. Bozak, Ok.

County Attorney Scott McClure, So tell me again what we are doing? Just so I'm clear.

Mr. Whitten, Well it sounds to me that the Auditor has a list of all these contracts except that she just found one the other day.

County Attorney Scott McClure, Since when?

Ms. Graham, 2015

County Attorney Scott McClure, 2015?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, I'd say we've tighten it up since 2016....2015/2016.

County Attorney Scott McClure, And so then Gateway has the contracts over \$50,000 that's readily available for anyone who wants look at.

Mr. Whitten, Right.

County Attorney Scott McClure, So then I am assuming that I want to be clear...

Mr. Whitten, I don't want to just rely on Gateway because I want to be able to take these things home and take a look at the list. I want to be able to really review them.

County Attorney Scott McClure, So again that's why I'm asking for clarification you want leases and maintenance agreements out of the gate?

Mr. Larson, Yes.

Mr. Whitten, Let's start with that.

Mr. Bozak, Ok.

Mr. Larson, Is that your amended motion Mike?

Mr. Whitten, Yes.

Mr. Jessen, Yes it's a good start.

Mr. Whitten, Yeah.

Mr. Bozak, If there is no more discussion we will call roll call Vicki? I'm sorry is there any more discussion? I apologize excuse me.

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, Ok so...

Ms. Graham, I do have one question? Are we doing...we are wanting to look at this but that doesn't mean that we are going to do this forever and ever now? We're just going to be looking at this list? I want to feel as if Department Heads and people in charge out there that I can trust them. This is such poor...what came before us we became aware that it was not transparent it was in my opinion...in my opinion it was unethical what was going on. But I want to be able to have faith in Department Heads and that and let them run their departments.

Mr. Jessen, To be clear we're not going to dictate what the contracts are, we're simply as the body going to be aware of what it is. I think that make sense.

Mr. Whitten, I mean there subject to fiscal probation anyways let's take a look and see what's out there.

Ms. Graham, Ok.

Mr. Larson, Right, on the flip side of that we're elected to watch every dime we spend so it is our responsibility.

Ms. Graham, I do agree with you. I agree with you on that.

Mr. Whitten, Let's get down.

Mr. Rivas, Let's take a vote let's move on.

Mr. Bozak, Any other discussion? Alright Ms. Vicki roll call please?

Auditor Vicki Urbanik,

Motion carried on the following roll call vote:

Ms. Graham	-	Yes
Mr. Jessen	-	Yes
Mr. Larson	-	Yes
Mr. Whitten	-	Yes
Mr. Bozak	-	Yes
Mr. Rivas	-	Yes
Ms. Conover	-	Yes

Auditor Vicki Urbanik, 7-0

Mr. Whitten, Thank you Scott.

Mr. Rivas, One last thing I know Karen brought it up and Dan said it just to echo this isn't attacking anybody out there that want to do business with county government this was a breakdown from within and what we are doing right now is to make sure that this doesn't happen again. This was a breakdown from past administrations, the current people...the current Elected Officials we weren't told everything so we have got to fix this.

Mr. Bozak, Good conversation to have.

FEMA and Small Business Administration

Flooding & Severe Storms that occurred February 14 – March 4th, 2018

- Mr. Scott Sanders - FEMA Public Information Officer for the State of Indiana
- Mr. Jeremiah Rowley – Intergovernmental Affairs Specialist – External Affairs - FEMA
- Ms. Janel Finley – Small Business Administration

Mr. Bozak, Ok next up under any other matter that may properly come before the Council...

Audience Member, Can I ask a question?

Mr. Bozak, Yes you can but can you give me one more minute the FEMA gentlemen are here and they have sat through the whole meeting and we've just got to get them out of here.

Audience Member, But my question is on what you just passed?

Mr. Bozak, We'll come right back to it just a moment please. Sir can you step up, do you want to kind of present what you wanted to just real quick? I am going to have you come up and introduce yourselves.

FEMA Mr. Jeremiah Rowley, Hello good evening.

(Council replies Good Evening)

Mr. Bozak, Thank you for your patience.

Ms. Graham, Thank you for your patience.

FEMA Mr. Jeremiah Rowley, You're very welcome I find your politics are quite interesting. So thank you for having us my name is Jeremy Rowley I do the Intergovernmental Affairs with FEMA. With me I have Mr. Scott Sanders who does public information for FEMA as well as Ms. Janel Finley which she does the public information for Small Business Administration. We are here because we want to give away money...that usually gets a really good response.

Mr. Rivas, Are you from the Federal Government and you said you want to give away money?

FEMA Mr. Jeremiah Rowley, There are stipulations.

(Laughter)

Mr. Bozak, And it's your money.

FEMA Mr. Jeremiah Rowley, So we're here for the flooding that happened on Valentine's Day (February 14th, 2018) to March 5th, 2018 and the deadline is approaching July 5th which is nine (9) days from now. We are asking you as a body to talk to your constituents to get the word out that we're here time limited and we do not want anybody to miss out on this opportunity.

Mr. Bozak, And you're here in our building here?

FEMA Mr. Jeremiah Rowley, Negative.

Mr. Larson, No you guys are done in this vicinity tomorrow correct?

FEMA Mr. Jeremiah Rowley, That is correct.

Mr. Larson, And then we have to the 5th to apply?

FEMA Mr. Jeremiah Rowley, There's three ways.

Mr. Larson, Right.

FEMA Mr. Jeremiah Rowley, There is one standing DRC (Disaster Recovery Center) in Rensselaer. You can go online or you can call us, I believe I have inundated all of your emails with all this information already.

Mr. Larson, Right.

FEMA Mr. Jeremiah Rowley, So I am going to let Scott take this over right now.

FEMA Mr. Scott Sanders, So Porter County was one of the late add on Counties originally when the declaration was signed by the President for nine (9) counties in Indiana that were eligible for what we call individual assistance and on June the 6th there were thirteen (13) more counties added on so Porter County kind of came in late. It's not unusual to have a late add on counties so we're encouraging people who are eligible to receive federal disaster assistance if they live or work or own a business in Porter County. If they have uninsured or underinsured damage to their primary residence if they've got documentation of their losses, out of pocket expenses we want them to call the 1-800-621-3362 number. We want to try and capture as many of those people as we can it's their money if they want to leave it on the table that's up to them, but it is their money and they have got until the 5th of July to try and get signed up.

Mr. Larson, Do you have the number already of how many have applied from Porter County? Or is there a dollar....

FEMA Mr. Scott Sander, Twenty-one (21).

Mr. Larson, How many?

FEMA Mr. Scott Sander, Twenty-one (21)

Mr. Rivas, Joy can we ask for some reason I thought this was on our website can we ask IT to put something on our county website or ask our social media person to put on social media?

Ms. Graham, Its been on the radio.

Mr. Rivas, Is this something Bob could put?...

EMA Director Lance Bella, Hold on, yeah just so that you know this has gone to Kurt Ellis has taken responsibility to put it on all the social medias. Porter County Emergency Management put together on all social media...

Mr. Whitten, Good deal.

Mr. Larson, Sylvia has been on 105.5

EMA Director Lance Bella, As well as press release and it's been on the radio.

Mr. Bozak, All right thank you.

Mr. Rivas, That is what I was going for thank you.

Mr. Bozak, And we wanted to invite you gentlemen and ladies here tonight so the papers could hear it and hopefully add it to their story as well. So we appreciate you time today.

Mr. Rivas, Is there anything else you think we are missing that we need to do real fast?

Ms. Conover, Just get the word out.

Mr. Larson, Is this a case by case analysis? Anyone that applies can receive that money that was immediately damaged or...?

FEMA Mr. Scott Sander, No not everyone that applies is going to be eligible we've tried to cast the net as wide as we can as we like to say. You've got to live in the County as your primary residence and you've got damage that's either uninsured or underinsured, documentation of your damages if the FEMA grants can't help you either to repair or replace your home it could be that the small business administration they offer disaster assistance loans to individuals as well. Long term low interest loans but we want to try and make sure we don't leave anybody uninformed that there is help available.

SBA Ms. Janel Finley, And I'd like to mention one thing that Scott did not bring out. Our agency we handle long term recovery because when FEMA refer you after you register with FEMA and they don't give you the full assistance you need to get a full recovery the you would be referred to SBA and then we offer those low interest loans, like for a homeowner or rental up to \$200,000 dollars with the low interest rate of that 1.8% percent. And for businesses we also offer low interest loans up to \$2,000,000 million for our businesses small and any sized business with the interest rate 3.5% percent but it's also a fixed rate, it's fixed for up to thirty (30) years on those low interest loans. So you can't beat the assistance so if you are referred by FEMA to SBA we say go ahead and put the application in because then you may be getting other assistance. If we can't help you in the loans then you may be losing out on those other assistance things that FEMA may offer.

Mr. Whitten, Do you know anybody looking for some old IV-D Prosecutor space let us know?

(Laughter)

Mr. Bozak, Thank you guys and thanks again for your patience.

Ms. Graham, Thank you, thank you very much for coming guys safe travels.

Mr. Jessen, Thanks for the work you do.

SBA Ms. Janel Finley, Here are some flyer.

Ms. Graham, Oh great I'll put them out in front.

Mr. Bozak, Can you come on down and introduce yourself?

Pam Rerick, My name is Pam Rerick...

Ms. Graham, Right over here Pam.

Mr. Rivas, Or you can come up here real fast.

Pam Rerick, I just have a quick question?

Mr. Bozak, Ok.

Pam Rerick, My name is Pam Rerick I live in Chesterton and I understand that you had a motion just now to look at contracts and leases that is going to be done correct? But I want to make sure the original discussion where Mr. Harper was going to look at that lease to get out of it?

Mr. Whitten, Yeah that's happening too.

Mr. Bozak, Yeah.

Mr. Whitten, No it's separate.

Mr. Bozak, No it's not a motion it's just happening because he is our attorney.

Ms. Graham, Is going to...

Mr. Bozak, We have instructed him to do that.

Pam Rerick, I feel that this was a real black eye to county government. A real black eye and anybody who in some way puts it under the rug or minimizes it...it's not right it was not transparent. I am absolutely shocked that our Porter County was this underhanded. It's a real disservice to your constituents. Thank you.

Ms. Graham, Thank you.

Mr. Bozak, Thank you.

Review Budget Meeting Schedule

Mr. Bozak, alright next up on the agenda we wanted to review the budget meeting schedule. I don't know if you guys had a chance to go over that it's in your packet.

Mr. Whitten, Yes it's good.

Mr. Bozak, We've set some dates everyone ok with them any issues?

Mr. Whitten, Looks great.

Mr. Bozak, No problems? Alright to we need a motion?

Mr. Whitten, No.

Mr. Bozak, Alright Joy we are good to go would you let everyone know for the final dates these are good.

Administrative Assistant, Yes.

Mr. Whitten, Let's get to it.

Review July Meeting date change from the 24th to the 31st

Mr. Bozak, And lastly the July meeting we are looking for the 24th to the 31st our Auditor will not be in town and will not attend. She's attending an Auditors conference or Vicki could you tell us whatever it is?

Mr. Whitten, I'm ok with moving the meeting.

Mr. Bozak, So is everyone ok with moving the meeting?

Ms. Graham, Yeah go with it.

Meeting adjourned

Mr. Bozak, I'm just looking for a motion to adjourn?

Mr. Whitten, You got it.

Mr. Rivas, Second.

Mr. Bozak, All those in favor say Aye? All those Opposed same sign? Motion carries, have a good evening.

There being no further business, meeting was adjourned.

**PORTER COUNTY COUNCIL
PORTER COUNTY, INDIANA**

Mike Jessen

Dan Whitten

Karen Conover

Sylvia Graham

Jeff Larson

Andy Bozak

Jeremy Rivas

Attest: _____
Vicki Urbanik, Auditor